

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH
20th February, 1970

NATO CONFIDENTIAL
WORKING PAPER
AC/89-WP/269(Revised)

SUB-COMMITTEE ON SOVIET ECONOMIC POLICY
ECONOMIC REVIEW OF INDIVIDUAL EASTERN EUROPEAN
COUNTRIES: RUMANIA

CURRENT PROBLEMS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF
THE RUMANIAN ECONOMY

Revised Note by the Canadian Delegation

Note by the Chairman

As part of the third series of examining sessions on economic trends in the East European countries, a special meeting, attended by experts from capitals, was held on 20th March, 1969(1); this meeting was devoted to Rumania.

2. Attached is the final version of the Canadian Note, which has been revised on the basis of the points made in discussion and the subsequent written amendments and comments by the United Kingdom and United States Delegations(2). Its purpose is to provide a consolidated document reflecting the original Note and the comments thereon - which have been circulated elsewhere - in order to facilitate consideration of all the material now submitted.

3. The contents of this document will be incorporated in the summary to be prepared by the International Staff in conclusion to this series of examining sessions. This summary will be submitted to the Council either as it stands or in an abridged version.

(Signed) Y. LAULAN

NATO,
1110 Brussels.

-
- (1) AC/89-D/62
(2) AC/89-WP/269/1 and AC/89-WP/269/2

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

THE RUMANIAN ECONOMY

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7 - 10
I. POPULATION, LABOUR FORCE, LIVING STANDARDS	10 - 11
II. AGRICULTURE	11 - 15
III. INDUSTRY	16 - 20
IV. TRADE	20 - 27
Geographical Distribution	
Commodity Composition	
General	
Composition of trade with non-Communist countries	
Composition of trade with Communist countries	
V. FINANCE	28 - 31
Convertible Currency Requirements	
COMECON Currency Requirements	
VI. FOREIGN ECONOMIC RELATIONS IN LIGHT OF THE WARSAW PACT INTERVENTION IN CZECHOSLOVAKIA	31 - 33
VII. ECONOMIC REFORMS	33 - 35
VIII. GROWTH STRATEGY	35 - 37

DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE - PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

INDEX TO ANNEX

1. Eastern Europe: Statistical Profile, 1967.
2. Implement Deliveries to Rumanian Agriculture, Net Additions to Capital Stocks and Replacements, 1963-68.
3. Index of Industrial Production, 1960-67.
4. East Europe: Share of Trade with Non-Communist Countries, 1966-67.
5. Rumania: Distribution by Country of Total Trade, Exports and Imports, 1967.
6. Rumania: Geographical Distribution of Imports, 1960-67.
7. Rumania: Geographical Distribution of Exports, 1960-67.
8. Rumania: Commodity Composition of Imports from Non-Communist Countries, 1965-67.
9. Rumania: Commodity Composition of Exports to Non-Communist Countries, 1965-67.
10. Rumania: Commodity Composition of Imports from the USSR, 1965-67.
11. Rumania: Commodity Composition of Exports to the USSR, 1965-67.
12. Rumania: Non-Communist Bilateral Trading Partners, 1967.
13. East Europe: Balance of Trade with Convertible Currency Countries.
14. Rumania: Balance of Trade with Communist Countries, 1965-67.
15. Rumania: Graph showing Balance of Trade with Communist Countries, 1960-67.
16. Chart to Compare Reform Measures in COMECON Countries.

THE RUMANIAN ECONOMY

OBJECT

This study is an attempt to present and assess the economic, financial and growth factors operating in Rumania.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Rumania's population of 19.3 million supplies a labour force of 11.5 million, 60% of whom are still employed in agriculture. The standard of living found in Rumania is one of the lowest in East Europe and is less than half that found in the advanced countries of Western Europe. The low standard of living is a function both of the low stage of development of the economy and of the government programme of rapid industrialisation whereby a minimum of resources are allocated to the production of consumer goods so that a maximum of resources can be channeled into capital formation.

2. Climate and soils in Rumania are suited to the production of almost every crop common to East Europe, but, because of the low priority assigned to agriculture relative to industry in the country's development plans, much of Rumanian agricultural production potential goes unrealised. The agricultural sector accounts for about 30% of national income and its exportable surplus is a major contributor to the country's hard currency earnings.

3. Severe drought conditions in 1968 brought Rumanian agricultural output back to the level of 1965 after the high yields achieved in 1966 and 1967. The Bill on Agricultural Taxation, expected to be implemented in 1969, will introduce a progressive income tax in place of the present property levy. It should act as a major production incentive for co-operative and private farmers. Gross capital investment in agriculture is expected to increase by 9% over the 1968 total but, given current equipment investment trends, net investment will decline or become negative. Average output levels in the next few years are expected to increase only marginally above those realised in 1966 and 1967.

4. Rumania has achieved one of the highest rates of growth of industrial output in the world. This growth has been achieved partly at the expense of the non-industrial sectors, such as agriculture, and also at the expense of personal consumption, the ratio of capital goods to consumer goods in current industrial production being about two to one. During the 1961-1965 period, the average annual increase in gross industrial output was 13.8% higher than any other member of COMECON, and it has remained high over the last three years, averaging 12.3%. The plan for 1969 forecasts an increase of 10.8% over 1968 and it seems likely that the industrial output planned for 1970 under the present Five Year Plan will be achieved.

5. Rumania's industrial development has centred on the development of the chemical and engineering industries, plus the necessary supporting industries of methane gas extraction, steel and power. Although the fastest growing sector during 1965-1967, "metal construction and metal products" is not primarily a domestic resource based industry, the next three fastest growing sectors (electric and thermal power, chemical and pulp and paper) are based on the exploitation of domestic natural resources. The plan for 1969 indicates that this pattern of industrial development will not change radically in the near future.

6. Although a small trading nation, heavily committed prior to 1960 to the Communist trading group, and more particularly to the Soviet Union, Rumania has achieved a degree of success in its espoused aim of breaking away from a heavy dependence on its Communist trading partners. This development, however, has had only a marginal effect upon a continuing dependence on the COMECON countries for raw materials, machinery and services required for its steel, engineering and textile industries and for outlets for its industrial products.

7. In the past decade, the non-Communist countries' share of Rumania's trade has risen from about one-fifth to almost one-half, with a corresponding decline in the share of the Communist countries. While Rumania's trade with the West grew seven-fold, admittedly from a low base, that with the Communist trading group rose only by one-half. Preliminary figures for 1968 indicate a 2% decline in the non-Communist share of Rumania's total trade. In line with its policy of rapid industrialisation, Rumania has imported large amounts of advanced technical equipment and machinery from the West. During the same period, Rumanian exports of foodstuffs, timber and timber products and petroleum products increased at a much slower rate. Foreign trade targets for 1966-1970 have been slightly exceeded through the midway point of the Five Year Plan. A slow-down in the growth of trade over the next few years is estimated.

8. The most important item financing Rumania's present trade imbalance is the extension of medium term export credits principally by the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom and Italy. Rumania ranks second only to the Soviet Union in terms of the absolute amount of credits outstanding to NATO countries, which, as of June 1968, stood at a total of \$731 million. Although Rumania does have gold deposits, neither annual production nor gold reserves are thought to be large. Rumania is faced with the basic problem of securing additional convertible currency to finance its past obligations and future requirements. Rumania could employ some

mix of the following policies: (1) deplete gold reserves, (2) reallocate investment resources to meet the short run demand for agricultural products, (3) negotiate for a reduction of the West's high import duties on agricultural produce, and (4) upgrade the quality of its industrial goods and apply aggressive marketing techniques to sell them abroad.

9. The formation of the International Bank for Economic Co-operation (IBEC) in 1964 has failed to expand multilateral trading among the COMECON members. Since the accounting unit for trade within COMECON, "the transferable rouble" is not convertible into foreign currency, there is nothing to be gained by building up paper credits on a surplus account. Rumania's cumulative trade balance for the period 1960-1967 with all the Communist countries shows a small deficit of \$6 million. With the East European countries (excluding the Soviet Union) Rumania runs a cumulative deficit of \$146 million, whereas trade with the USSR and remaining Communist countries has shown cumulative surpluses of \$68 million and \$73 million respectively. Rumania is not a large donor or receiver of intra-COMECON short-term or investment credits.

10. The Czechoslovak crisis of August 1968 has strengthened the will of Rumania to preserve what economic independence it has won during the past from the USSR. Rumania has tied the success of her industrialisation programme largely to imports of advanced capital equipment from non-Communist countries. Whether the West maintains its share of Rumania's trade depends, among other things, upon Rumania's success (or failure) to shift from buying to selling in Western markets. Overt economic sanctions against Rumania by the COMECON countries are unlikely. Barring a change in Rumania's actions, the Soviet Union will probably continue to take a liberal view towards Rumanian foreign economic relations.

11. Compared with other East European countries, Rumania is a latecomer to economic reform. The small industrial base and relatively unsophisticated nature of the economy has provided less incentive to reform the economic system than in some of the other more economically advanced countries in Eastern Europe, where reform is being stimulated by the need for qualitative changes in production. Limited changes in the operation of the economy are not expected to be implemented on any more than an experimental scale until 1970.

12. The creation of "industrial centrals" into which the enterprises of entire regions or whole industries will be combined is the basic feature of Rumania's reform programme. These industrial centrals will inherit much of the detailed planning, co-ordination and management from the central

planning agencies, enabling the latter to concentrate on broad policy questions. Some contact will be permitted between foreign and domestic firms. Prices will remain centrally fixed. If Rumania's economic reforms are implemented, they can be done so without major disruptions in the economy.

13. The predominant feature of Rumania's growth strategy is the drive to rapid industrialisation. By failing to maximise production potential in the agricultural sector, Rumania has had to forego considerable convertible currency earnings. On the other hand, in the longer run, Rumanian exports of primary products face growing competition and are subject to price vagaries and inelasticities of demand on the international market.

14. Rumania's industrialisation programme is reasonable in that many of the most rapidly growing industries are domestic resource orientated; other import substitution industries will conserve Rumania's limited supply of hard currency and allow some economic independence from the Soviet Union. If rapid industrialisation is to bring Rumanians a higher standard of living, techniques must be found to expand sales in the high quality demand markets of Western Europe.

I. POPULATION, LABOUR FORCE, LIVING STANDARDS

15. Rumania's population of about 19.3 million persons is largely of Latin descent, although it comprises Hungarian (approximately 10% of the total) and German minorities. There is no real evidence of agitation among these minorities, but this does not exclude certain latent discontent. Rumania's President, Nicolas Ceausescu, claims that the Czechoslovak crisis has strengthened the unity of the nation. Rumania is attempting to avoid major regional economic imbalances through a deliberate policy of locating new plants in a dispersed pattern throughout the country.

16. Rumania has the highest participation rate of the East European countries, with its population of 19.3 million persons supplying a labour force of 11.5 million persons - 60% of whom are still employed (in many cases, under-employed) in agriculture. In an effort to satisfy the potential increase in demand for labour created by Rumania's rapid industrialisation, the government in 1966 banned abortions and made divorce more difficult to obtain. This legislation probably explains much of the dramatic rise in the birth rate between 1966 and 1967 from 14.3 to 27.4 births per thousand of population respectively.

17. The low standard of living prevailing in Rumania is a reflection of the low stage of development of the economy. The present slow rate of improvement in living standard is the price Rumanians are paying for their programme of rapid industrialisation. That is, a minimum of resources are allocated to the production of consumer goods in order that a maximum of resources may be channeled into capital formation. Western sources estimate that the per capita GNP of Rumania in 1967 stood at \$940. This compares with an estimated \$1,580 for the USSR and over \$2,000 for the advanced countries of Western Europe. In Eastern Europe, only Yugoslavia and Albania had lower per capita GNPs.

18. The average monthly wage in Rumania is reported to be 1,200 lei; the minimum wage having recently been raised from less than 600 to 700 lei per month. Wages in the industrial sector are above the national average at an estimated figure near 2,000 lei per month. Translation into comparable dollar amounts would be misleading because neither the commercial rate of exchange nor the tourist rate of exchange reflect the internal purchasing power of the lei.

II. AGRICULTURE

19. Rumania is endowed with considerable agricultural resources. Agricultural land accounts for 14.8 million hectares, or 62.5% of the total area of the country. Of this, 66%, or 9.8 million hectares, are arable and most of the arable land is sown to crops (98.6% in 1967).

20. Rumania's arable land is primarily given to the production of maize (33% of arable land) and wheat (30% of arable land). The remaining arable land is devoted: to fodder crops (14%); technical crops (10%), such as fibre oilseed crops and sugar beets; and potatoes, vegetables, leguminous and seed crops (8%).

21. Rumania's vineyards are said to be the world's ninth largest in area. There appears to be no attempt to expand vineyard area nor has the yield per acre been improved. Statistics for the last decade show that grape production has remained about 900,000 tons annually. In contrast, Rumanian fruit production has more than doubled since 1951. Principal crops are plums and apples, with some production of pears, cherries, apricots and nuts.

22. Climate and soils in Rumania are suited to the production of virtually every crop common to East Europe. However, largely because of the low priority assigned to agriculture relative to industry in the country's development plans, Rumanian agriculture has in the past been neglected, and much of the country's agricultural production

potential goes unrealised. Nevertheless, by producing sufficient foodstuffs and raw materials during most years, both to meet the bulk of domestic requirements and to provide a significant exportable surplus, the sector makes a vital contribution to the national economy. The contribution is particularly important in the case of grains since the main buyers of the 1 to 1.5 million metric tons exported annually are in the hard currency markets of Western Europe and Japan.

23. Of an estimated GNP in 1967 of roughly US \$18 billion, agriculture probably accounted for about 30%. It employed 60% of the country's labour force. 90% of the farm labour force worked in the state sector consisting of some 730 state farms, 270 machine and tractor stations, and 4,680 agricultural producer co-operatives.

24. In 1967, crop production accounted for 62% of gross agricultural output, the remainder being animal products. While equivalent figures for 1968 are not available, the proportion probably shifted in favour of animal products because of a drop in crop output as a result of drought and heavier than average animal slaughtering designed to conserve feed.

25. Though certain foodstuffs, and agricultural raw materials for industry, are imported, Rumania must be considered an agricultural surplus country. In 1967, agricultural products accounted for roughly 28% of exports against less than 3% of imports. Major exports were grains (corn and wheat primarily), fruits, vegetables and wine. Eggs, animal fats and sugar were shipped in smaller quantities. Basic imports were cotton, rubber, rice, citrus fruits and hides and skins.

26. While the economy reaps major benefits from its agricultural surplus, the limitations of Rumanian agriculture as it is practiced today are considerable. The sector is labour intensive and inefficient by Western standards - in a word, "under-developed". It has the lowest concentration of tractor power among COMECON countries, the least consumption of chemical fertilizer per unit of cropland, farms which are on average much too large to be operated efficiently with the present technological base, and a rudimentarily developed irrigation system at present inadequate to protect against recurring droughts. But a major effort to expand irrigated areas is currently under way.

27. Government planners for the most part have been seemingly content to accept the export surpluses provided by Rumanian agriculture without apparent concern for many of agriculture's pressing requirements. To their credit, deliveries of chemical fertilizers have increased markedly in recent years, and, while the use per hectare of cropland is still well below that of most other European countries, these deliveries will undoubtedly lead to increased crop production. Furthermore, there has been a slow but steady increase in the average horsepower per tractor used, and work is progressing on supplying agriculture with equipment of increased capacity. In 1969, deliveries of the first self-propelled grain combine harvesters, with capacities of 12 tons per hour (or three times that of the combines presently used), are to begin. Although, in so far as the principal types of agricultural machinery are concerned, deliveries of new equipment have been levelling off in recent years, there has been a steady increase in the total amount of such equipment available and a further increase is expected in 1969 (see Table 2 Revised).

28. Severe drought conditions in 1968 brought a decline in Rumanian agricultural output. The recently published U.S.D.A. index of Rumanian output given below shows a 13 point drop in 1968 and puts the year's output back almost to the level of 1965(1). This decline adds perspective to the impressive agricultural levels achieved in 1966 and 1967. Because of unfavourable weather, 1968 performance is clearly not indicative of output capacity during an average year. However, given the present level of inputs and technology used in agriculture, it is probably more indicative than that of the previous two years.

U.S.D.A. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION
INDEX NUMBERS FOR EAST EUROPE AND THE SOVIET UNION,
CALENDAR YEARS 1964-68
(1957-59 = 100)

<u>Country of Area</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968*</u>
East Europe	115	116	127	130	126
Bulgaria	127	132	155	153	134
Czechoslovakia	110	95	111	114	117
Soviet Occup. Zone of					
Germany	105	111	110	122	121
Hungary	107	110	117	118	112
Poland	121	123	130	135	140
Rumania	112	123	141	139	132
Yugoslavia	117	108	131	126	115
Soviet Union	122	116	138	133	139

* Preliminary

(1) However, these figures do not tally with the official Rumanian figures, according to which agricultural output rose by 1% in 1967 and fell by 3.6% the following year.

29. As a result of the drought, output levels of grains, sugar beets and fruits dropped below those of 1967. Wheat production was the most serious casualty, declining by roughly one million tons. Losses in total value of crop output were partially offset by gains in potato, vegetable and grape production.

PRODUCTION OF SELECTED CROPS, CALENDAR YEARS 1965-68
(thousand metric tons)

<u>CROPS</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>% change in</u> <u>1968 from 1967</u>
Total Grain	12,600	13,900	13,500	12,400	- 7%
Corn	5,877	8,022	6,860	6,780	- 1%
Wheat	5,937	5,065	5,820	4,880	- 17%
Rye	125	100	130		
Potatoes	2,195	3,352	3,100	656	+ 18%
Sugar Beets	3,275	4,368	3,830	3,700	- 3%
Vegetables	1,655	2,177	2,000	2,300	+ 15%
Grapes	921	954	910	1,180	+ 30%
Fruit	1,135	1,390	1,206	1,034	- 14%

30. A reduction in forage availability and a decline in fodder output led to heavier than normal slaughtering of livestock in 1968, particularly on the household plots of agricultural co-operative members and on private farms. Because a greater proportion of pigs than sheep or cattle is contained in the socialist sector, where feed is more plentiful, and because they are basically penned animals and less dependent on forage, pigs were the least affected. Their numbers increased marginally.

LIVESTOCK NUMBERS, CALENDAR YEARS 1965-68*

	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>Change</u> <u>during 1968</u>
Cattle	4,935	5,198	5,332	5,131	- 201
Pigs	5,365	5,400	5,752	5,831	+ 79
Sheep	13,125	14,109	14,380	14,283	- 97

* Counted in January of following year

31. In terms of overall agricultural policy, perhaps the most important event in 1968 was the 7th December introduction into the Grand National Assembly's Examination Bureau of a Draft Bill on Agricultural Taxation. While the actual date for implementation is presently unknown, it is expected that the Bill will become law before the end of 1969.

32. In essence, the Bill proposes to change the agricultural taxation from one based on an invariable per hectare levy to one based on progressive taxation of the actual income of farmers. It is envisaged that the change, coupled with the proposed income tax schedule, will reduce the amount of tax paid by agricultural co-operatives and individual farmers. The passage of this Bill should provide major production incentive for the groups affected. It would seem to signify increased government awareness of the rôle of the personal household plots of co-operative farmers and private farms, and as such may constitute a significant departure from the past policy of favouring state farms.

33. The immediate outlook for Rumanian agriculture is favourable, with no spectacular increases in output levels expected. On the basis of the present technological base and current trends in inputs, average output levels in the next few years will probably increase only marginally above those realised in 1966 and 1967.

34. Gross State investment in agriculture in 1969 is planned to increase by 9% over the 1968 total. However, it is not known what proportion of the increase will constitute net investment. The area planned to be irrigated in 1969 is double that achieved in 1968 and Western aid has been sought. An important contract is being negotiated with the United Kingdom, covering not only deliveries of materials, but also the services of British experts. Almost 1 million hectares (about 3,850 square miles) of irrigated land is the goal for the end of 1970. Investment is expected to contribute to increasing cereal output in the next two years. However, unless the country is favoured by exceptionally good weather, the 1970 plan goal of 15 million tons of grain will certainly not be met.

35. In the long run, a gradual increase in average output levels can be expected. Growing inputs of fertilizer, better machinery and an enlarged irrigated acreage will undoubtedly lead to production increases. However, as the current equipment base ages and wears out and the equipment park grows and thus leads to larger absolute numbers of machines requiring replacement each year, the net investment in machinery will decline or become negative, given current equipment investment trends. This may counteract somewhat the benefits gained by other improvements.

36. In the foreseeable future, the country will remain self-sufficient in most agricultural produce, barring unexpected disaster.

III. INDUSTRY

37. Rumania has achieved one of the highest rates of growth of industrial output in the world. Within East Europe, only Bulgaria has achieved a rate of industrial growth of similar magnitude. Rumania's industrial progress has been partly at the expense of growth in its non-industrial sectors. The following table illustrates this point.

RUMANIA: INDEX NUMBERS OF OUTPUT BY SECTORS

<u>Sector</u>	<u>1960</u>	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>	<u>1963</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>
Industry	100	114	130	145	166	190	210	238
Building	100	109	115	119	129	136	147	165
Ag. & Forestry	100	103	91	95	99	101	117	117
Transport & Telecommunications	100	117	127	151	166	180	198	221
Trade	100	115	111	137	161	166	146	132
Other Branches	100	96	100	95	97	96	106	116
National Income	100	110	115	126	140	154	169	183
Per Capita NI	100	109	113	123	137	149	163	174

38. It may be seen from the above table only the "transport and telecommunications" sector of the economy has experienced growth that in any way approaches the rate achieved by industry. This may be explained in that a large part of the growth of the former sector would be directly associated with growth in industry. For example, an increased output of steel would require an increase in transportation facilities to handle the increased steel output as well as the increased inputs of iron ore, coke and limestone. The same argument could explain much of the growth in output of the "building" sector; that is, much of Rumania's new construction has likely been industrial, rather than residential, in order to accommodate the country's industrial expansion. The table shows that there has been negligible growth in "agriculture and forestry" since 1960.

39. Rapid industrialisation in Rumania has also occurred at the expense of personal consumption. That is, capital goods production has consistently received priority over consumer goods production. Rumanian industrial output is weighted two to one in the ratio of capital goods to consumer goods. In Eastern Europe, where investment has always formed a large part of national income, Rumania has one of the highest investment-national income ratios, and in 1969 the accumulation fund is to amount to 30% of national income compared to a level of 24-25% in the late 1950's. During the

1966-1968 period, State investment slightly exceeded the level laid down in the Five Year Plan, and this is true of the investment planned for 1969. It seems probable that the plan to invest 280,500 million lei from State funds between 1966 and 1970 will be achieved or even slightly exceeded, and over half is being channeled to industry.

40. During the 1961-1965 period, the average annual increase in gross industrial output was 13.8%, higher than any other COMECON member, and it has remained high over the last three years, 12.3%. Since 1965, industry has consistently exceeded both the annual plan and the aims for each year laid down in the Five Year Plan, but this over-fulfilment has been almost entirely by the producer goods industries, whose output increased by an average of 13.5% a year between 1966-1968 compared to 10.3% for the consumer goods industries. Though the planned rate of growth for industry as a whole was lower in 1969 (10.8%) in absolute terms, planned output is above that envisaged under the Five Year Plan, and it seems likely that the gross industrial output planned for 1970 will be achieved.

41. Several sectors of industry in Rumania have taken advantage of its domestic natural resources. A brief description of these natural resources follow.

42. Forests cover one-quarter of Rumania. Damage incurred during the war through harvesting without afforestation was somewhat repaired by reafforestation in 1948 of about one-tenth of Rumania's forested area. Rumania's forest resources now produce an annual timber production of just over 5 million cu.m. Continuing afforestation has presumably put Rumania's forest sector on a sustained yield basis.

43. Rumania possesses one of the largest sources of natural gas in Europe. Extraction of this methane gas has risen from some 2 billion cu.m in 1950 to 7 billion cu.m in 1960, and to over 16 billion cu.m in 1967. It is used widely for lighting and heating and has become a base for the chemical industry. Rumania has also petroleum reserves, but these are more limited and have not sizeably increased, despite considerable prospecting. Crude oil production has increased from 5 million tons in 1950 to 11 million tons in 1960, but appears to be levelling off at about 13 million tons per year, and will remain virtually static under the plans for 1969 and 1970. Refining capacity, however, is rising above this level (there is expected to be a nominal surplus of refining capacity over domestic production of crude of some 5 million tons a year when the new PITESTI refinery comes on stream in 1969) and some crude oil is being imported.

In order to economise on restricted petroleum and natural gas reserves, Rumania is being compelled to adjust her supply and consumption of primary energy sources to inferior varieties of coal and the development of hydro-electric power. It is likely that by 1980 the proportion of oil and gas used for fuel and power requirements will be 67% as compared with 75% in 1960.

44. Rumania does not have large reserves of iron ore. Domestic production has currently levelled off at about 2.7 million tons annually. Domestic demand for iron ore is filled through growing imports of iron ore which reached 3.4 million tons in 1967. Similarly, Rumania has very little domestic coking coal and currently imports are about 800 thousand tons per year.

45. The table below shows that four of Rumania's principal industries, i.e. the food, chemical, forestry and fuel sectors, are orientated toward its natural resource base. These sectors produce a combined output of 41.7% of total industrial output.

PRINCIPAL INDUSTRIAL SECTORS IN TERMS OF GROSS OUTPUT
(1963 prices)

<u>Sector of Industry</u>	<u>Share of Gross Industrial Output in 1967 (per cent)</u>
1. Engineering and metal working	22.3
2. Food	20.5
3. Ferrous metallurgy	8.3
4. Chemical	7.9
5. Forestry operation and wood working	7.2
6. Textiles	7.2
7. Fuel	6.1
8. Ready-made clothing	<u>4.5</u>
	<u>84.0</u>

46. Rumania's industrial growth has centred around the development of the chemical and engineering industries, plus the necessary supporting industries of methane gas extraction, steel and power. Pulp and paper output has also grown rapidly, but this sector remains a very small part of total industry. The following is a ranking of Rumania industrial sectors or sub-sectors by the per cent increase in their output over the period 1965-1967.

RUMANIA: GROWTH AND SIZE OF INDUSTRIAL SECTORS

<u>Sector or Sub-sector of Industry</u>	<u>Per cent Increase in Output 1965-67</u>	<u>Share of Gross Industrial Output 1967 (per cent)</u>
1. Metal construction and metal products	52	3.6
2. Electric and thermal power	50	3.0
3. Chemical	50	7.9
4. Pulp and paper	47	1.4
5. Ready-made clothing	37	4.5
6. Non-ferrous metallurgy (including non-ferrous ore mining)	37	3.4
7. Electrical engineering	36	3.1
8. Engineering	29	12.1

27

(average for all producer goods)

47. The eight sectors of industry shown are those which grew between 1965 and 1967 at rates above the average of all industrial output. It can be seen that although the fastest growing sector, "metal construction and metal products", is not primarily a domestic resource based industry (since it must depend partly on iron produced from imported ore) the next three fastest growing sectors are domestic resource based industries (electric and thermal power, chemical, and pulp and paper). The rapid growth of the ready-made clothing industry perhaps reflects a policy of allowing the consumer a slightly greater share of the national product. Given available information, it is not clear whether or not the "non-ferrous metallurgy" sector of industry is domestic resource based. For example, if the growth of this sector is concentrated in aluminium production based on domestically mined bauxite, or in lead production based on domestically mined galena ore, then the growth of this sector could be regarded as domestic resource orientated. On the other hand, growth of this sector could be concentrated in metals requiring imported raw materials.

48. In summary, of the four very rapidly expanding sectors of industry, three (accounting for 12.3% of gross industrial output) are clearly domestic resource based, while metal construction and metal products (accounting for 3.6% of gross industrial output) are dependent on imported inputs. Of the remaining four sectors of industry exhibiting above average rates of growth, at least three (accounting for 19.7% of gross industrial output) are heavily dependent on imported goods.

49. Considerable diversification accompanies Rumania's industrialisation programme. Some of this diversification can be seen as import-substitution, that is, the domestic production of goods formerly imported. For example, the present year will see the construction of a plant to produce 34,000 tons of cold rolled steel annually to be used for production of steel pipes. Also under construction is a styrene plant with an annual production capacity of 7,000 tons. The products which these plants will soon be producing are now being obtained from the West in significant quantities. Thus, the domestic production of these products will help Rumania conserve its scarce hard currency foreign exchange.

IV. TRADE

Geographical Distribution

50. In the period 1960 to 1968, Rumania's foreign trade registered an average annual growth rate of over 11%, the second most rapid rate in Eastern Europe, following Bulgaria's 14%. Rumania's imports, financed heavily by Western credits, grew at a much faster rate than did Rumania's exports. This trend has stemmed from a policy of acquiring increased quantities of sophisticated capital goods from non-Communist countries at the expense of trade with COMECON partners. While exports from 1960 to 1967 increased from \$717 million to approximately \$1.4 billion, or double the 1960 level, imports rose from \$648 million to over \$1.5 billion, an increase of over 130%.

51. Since 1960, Rumania's policy has been gradually to redirect a substantial part of its foreign commerce away from its Communist trading partners. Such a policy is motivated not only by a desire to lessen its economic dependence upon these countries (in particular the Soviet Union) but also by the recognition of the benefits to be derived from tapping Western sources of advanced engineering and technology. In 1960, 73% of its trade was conducted with other Communist nations; by the end of 1968, this share had been reduced to 54% (53% in 1967). COMECON members as a group accounted for only 47% of Rumania's trade in 1967, as against 67% in 1960. In 1960, 40% of all Rumania's trade was directed to the Soviet Union; in 1967, this share had dropped to 28%.

52. The trend in the geographical pattern of import trade away from the Communist countries has been pronounced in the 1960's. An increase from \$148 million to almost \$720 million was registered in purchases from the industrial non-Communist countries in 1960-1967, whereas imports from COMECON members rose only to \$690 million from \$440 million in 1960. While imports from the developing countries more than doubled in the same period, the aggregate value for 1967 reached only \$72 million. This figure is fairly close to the \$64 million purchased from Rumania's non-COMECON trading partners.

53. Rumanian exports to COMECON countries have increased by less than 50% since 1960, while, during this period, total exports almost doubled. This comparison is distorted to some extent by the 1966 COMECON foreign trade price reduction covering a limited group of commodities, but it is impossible to determine the exact degree to which these price reductions affected the total value of goods exchanged. Exports to the Soviet Union increased by slightly more than the average growth rate in Rumania - COMECON trade, and rose in value from \$281 million in 1960 to \$433 million in 1967. Commodities supplied to other COMECON members rose from \$190 million to about \$268 million. Sales to Asian Communist countries amounted to \$69 million in 1967, from \$48 million in 1960. In line with Rumania's more dependent stance, Rumanian-Chinese trade has gradually increased and in 1966 and 1967 exceeded the 1960 level.

54. Exports to the non-Communist countries have more than tripled between 1960 and 1967, rising from about \$194 million to \$606 million. Deliveries to the industrially developed countries increased in value from \$147 million to \$424 million. Three-quarters of these exports went to the Federal Republic of Germany, Italy, the United Kingdom and France, in that order.

55. Exports to the developing countries (in 1967 valued at about \$180 million) constitute less than a third of Rumania's sales to non-Communist countries. However, this represents a four-fold increase over a negligible level in 1960. Over half these exports were supplied to Lebanon, the United Arab Republic and Iran.

Commodity Composition

General

56. The emphasis on expanding its stock of capital goods and engineering equipment in recent years has been characterised by a marked advance in Rumania's imports of machinery and equipment since 1960. During the 1960-1967 period, these imports grew from about \$210 million to approximately \$755 million, representing in the latter year almost half of total imports compared to 32.5% in 1960. Preliminary figures show that, while the main weight within imports continues to be carried by complex industrial installations, machinery and equipment, their share of total imports in 1968 declined to 46.1%. Imports of solid fuels, mineral raw materials and metals, although increasing substantially in absolute terms, in 1967 provided only a quarter of all imports, as against 35% in 1960. Despite the country's low standard of living, consumer goods accounted for almost 7% of imports.

57. Before 1960, petroleum products, agricultural processing materials and foodstuffs were predominant in Rumania's export trade. In the ensuing years, some success has been achieved in broadening the formerly restricted base of agricultural and primary products. Shipments of machinery and equipment in 1965 were almost double the 1960 level and in 1968 accounted for 21.3% of total exports. The share of chemicals, fertilizers and rubber has increased appreciably from 2.2% to 8.0%, reflecting the growing importance attached to the chemical industry as a valuable contributor to the country's industrial output. Consumer durables doubled their share of exports since 1960 to reach 11% by 1967. On the other hand, the share of petroleum products in total exports has been reduced from 24% in 1960 to less than 9% in 1967. However, Rumania remains a primary product exporter. Foodstuffs and raw materials for the food processing industries currently represent almost 28% of all exports as against 21% in 1960. Agricultural raw materials (non-edible) and mineral raw materials and metals each accounted for about 12% of Rumania's exports in 1967.

Composition of Trade with Non-Communist Countries

58. Self-sufficiency in food and natural resources adequate to satisfy the greater part of current industrial requirements have enabled Rumania to acquire a larger proportion of capital equipment in its import mix than any other East European country, with the exception of Bulgaria. In this respect, Rumania has gained an appreciable degree of independence from the COMECON countries. Of all machinery and equipment purchased in 1966 and 1967, almost half originated from the non-Communist industrial countries. The major Western suppliers were the Federal Republic of Germany, France, Italy and Japan, in that order. Japan has recently emerged as an important potential source of capital goods and technical know-how, though purchases from it are as yet relatively small.

59. With its emphasis on a diversified industrial structure, Rumania has greatly expanded its imports both of Western capital equipment, embodying advanced technology, and of high quality industrial materials. In 1959, only one-fifth of imports from the West consisted of machinery and equipment, whereas by 1967 the proportion had grown to over one-half. Imported plant installations are supplying an important increment to Rumania's industrial base. In 1967, of the \$755 million worth of machinery and equipment received from all sources, \$345 million was in the form of equipment and materials for complete plants. In line with its policy of greatly expanding the chemical and petrochemical industries, Rumania imported a complete chemical plant, worth almost \$45 million, from the Federal Republic of Germany.

60. Purchases of metal and metal products enjoy an above average rate of growth and constitute almost 14% of total imports from the West. Chemicals have continued to hold about an 8% share in imports from the West, increasing five-fold in value since 1960. Purchases of textile fibres and foodstuffs, on the other hand, have declined significantly in importance.

61. The developing countries, primarily the United Arab Republic, Iran and Syria, in 1967 were a major source of cotton imports for Rumania while India supplied iron ore as part repayment for industrial equipment. There are indications that Rumania may try to import oil from the developing countries in return for industrial goods which, in terms of quality or price, prove uncompetitive in hard currency markets. In particular, Iran is supposed to deliver 3½ million tons of crude between 1968 and 1970 and to receive in return tractors as well as various types of equipment for agriculture and forestry. An agreement in principle had been made with Kuwait, on which there is no further information, also with Saudi Arabia and Libya. Negotiations with Venezuela are being carried on; a triangular deal is envisaged between the Lebanon, Saudi Arabia and Rumania for the construction of a refinery with the aid of Rumanian technology.

62. In an endeavour to help pay for its expanded imports, Rumania's export policy has been geared to increasing the range and quality as well as the volume of the export assortment available. The single most important factor in boosting exports to the non-Communist countries continues to be Rumania's increased deliveries of foodstuffs, which in 1967 were twice the 1965 level, and made up about 35% of all exports to the countries outside the Communist areas. Through vastly increased sales of wheat and corn, close to \$70 million was realised in hard currency in 1967. About \$25 million worth of wheat was sold on a bilateral clearing account basis to the United Arab Republic in return for essential supplies of cotton for Rumania's textile industry.

63. Rumania's emphasis on up-grading its engineering industry in recent years is paying off to some degree in the emergence of machinery and transport equipment as a new and expanding export item in trade with the non-Communist countries. The output is still largely restricted to equipment for the petroleum extractive industry, and agricultural and transport equipment, most of which is directed to the developing areas of the world. The immediate prospects for an expansion of these items as export commodities is poor. Rumanian equipment is, on the whole, of a rather low level of technology and unsuitable not only in the industrially developed countries, but also to some extent in the more advanced of the Communist and non-Communist developing nations.

64. Modest success has been achieved in the marketing of manufactured goods outside the Communist trading area. Manufacturing goods in 1967 made up 15% of exports to the West and constituted the second highest category in value. Exports of this group increased by about 70% over the 1965 level (from \$46 million to \$78 million).

65. One field with export potential, which Rumania has recently been exploring in its trade relations with the developing countries, involves the attempt to capitalise on its advanced fund of experience in the fields of oil prospecting, extraction and processing. With surplus refining capacity at home and an almost fixed level of indigenous crude oil output - with very little apparent prospect of bringing in new wells - Rumania has been looking to the possibility of substantial imports of crude oil from the Middle East and Latin America. Increased supplies will be required to supplement domestic output in order to feed its expanding chemical industry. In return, Rumania hopes to cover at least part of the very substantial payment outlay this would entail by the sale of oil-field equipment and services. Such a strategy could allow Rumania to expand her sales of chemical products in hard currency markets.

66. Although ratification of a deal to purchase crude oil from Iran has not been confirmed, Rumania is reportedly receiving supplies from this source. Repayment could conceivably entail Rumanian oil equipment.

67. An agreement reportedly worth about \$100 million was concluded early in 1968 with Saudi Arabia, providing for the import of 9 million tons of crude oil in return for Rumanian consumer goods and industrial equipment over a four year period; it is not known whether petroleum-related equipment is involved.

68. Also in 1968, Rumania and Venezuela agreed in principle to the supply of 11 million tons of crude oil to Rumania over a period of ten years, and it was reported that Rumania had offered counter-deliveries of oil-field equipment and services. Venezuelan oil, entailing a long tanker haul, would perforce be expensive, but a deal incorporating joint oil-field development could possibly be economically feasible for both sides. At any rate, increased efforts to expand this area of foreign commerce may be forthcoming. Success in implementing this programme for the expansion of crude oil imports could increase the volume and range of petroleum products available for export to the West European market.

Composition of Trade with Communist Countries

69. Rumania's economic dependence on its Communist trading partners has been appreciably reduced over the last decade. Nevertheless, the Soviet Union maintains economic domination through mutual trade and credit arrangements, political ties, geographic proximity and commitments to the Warsaw Pact.

70. Although raw materials take first place in Rumanian exports from the COMECON countries, large quantities of machinery, equipment and rolled steel (including pipe) are also imported. In 1966, approximately 60% of purchases in these categories came from its COMECON partners; one-third from the USSR and the remaining two-thirds from the other member countries, chiefly Czechoslovakia, Poland and the Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany. Reflecting the sharp rise in 1967 in imports of machinery and equipment from the West, the share of the COMECON countries' deliveries dropped from an estimated 60% of all imports in 1966 to about 50% in 1967; the Soviet Union's share fell to an estimated 15% of all imports in this category, the remaining 35% coming from the other COMECON countries. Notwithstanding the trend toward increased purchases of capital equipment from the West, Rumania remains heavily dependent on Communist and, more specifically, Soviet machinery in certain key industrial areas. The Galati Steel Mill and the Iron Gates hydro-electric power and navigation system, two of the largest industrial development projects currently under construction, are receiving major portions of machine plant and technical services from the Soviet Union.

71. Preliminary figures for 1968 reveal that overall imports of machinery and equipment decreased in volume. There has been no indication that the unprecedentedly high purchases of capital goods from the West have been repeated in 1968, suggesting that the drop is a result of a decline in the volume received from the West rather than a further reduction in COMECON's share. This development may, in turn, be explained by a need to absorb the recent large procurements into the industrial base, and a reluctance to amass further hard currency debts, rather than a deliberate government decision to reverse the trend toward increased imports of Western technology(1).

(1) The United Kingdom Delegation considers that there is no likelihood that the policy of purchasing large quantities of capital goods in the West will be reversed.

72. In 1967, Rumania purchased almost 1.5 million tons of rolled steel (including pipe), approximately one-half of this from the Soviet Union and a small amount from the other Communist countries. Rumania is dependent on the area for much of its supplies of iron ore, which is of increasing importance to the metallurgical industry. Purchases in 1967 amounted to 3.4 million tons, the greater part (2.7 million tons) coming from the Soviet Union. In solid fuels, Rumania is only marginally dependent on outside resources, except in the case of coke, 50% of which is imported, and the required increment to domestic supplies is again largely supplied by its COMECON trading partners.

73. Communist countries provide the principal export market for Rumania's manufactures, more particularly machinery, rolled steel, petroleum products and consumer goods, some of which would have difficulty competing in Western markets because of their inferior quality.

74. Machinery and equipment, which in 1968 accounted for 21.3% of total exports, was sold almost exclusively in Communist markets. A small amount of agricultural machinery was purchased by the developing countries. The Soviet Union in 1967 was the largest single buyer, taking some \$80 million worth, or one-third of export production, largely in the form of oil refinery equipment, ships and marine supplies and equipment for the chemical industry. Rumania exported \$55 million worth (out of \$125 million) of petroleum products to the Communist countries - over one-half of which was received by the Soviet Union alone. The Soviet Union is Rumania's principal market for rolled ferrous metals and metal tubes.

75. Timber and wood products are basic convertible currency earners. However, in 1967, the Soviet Union purchased some \$40 million worth and smaller quantities were sold to other Communist countries.

76. Rumania has recently expanded its exports to the Chinese People's Republic - \$40 million in 1967 - and to North Vietnam - \$20 million. It is difficult to determine the composition of these exports, but, from fragmentary information, it is suggested that goods to China were comprised chiefly of oil drilling equipment, ships and other machinery, while those to North Vietnam (in accordance with the economic agreement signed late in 1967, providing for non-repayable economic and military assistance to be granted in 1968) were composed mainly of railway rolling stock, road vehicles and other hard goods.

Balance of Trade

77. The substantial growth of exports to the non-Communist countries in the past decade has fallen far short of the even more rapid rise in imports. Rumania's annual trade deficit with this area has increased gradually from \$31 million in 1961 to a high of \$144 million in 1967, and for the period 1960-1967 the cumulative trade deficit amounted to about \$486 million.

78. The trade deficit with the industrial countries amounted cumulatively to some \$700 million between 1960 and 1967. The disparity between the export and import value has been particularly pronounced in the last three years. Conversely, Rumania realised an export surplus of about \$215 million in its trade with the developing countries, reflecting its shipments under its economic aid programme.

79. For the period 1960 to 1967, Rumania's trade balance with all Communist countries has moved from a position of surplus (1960-1961) to one of a sizeable deficit (1962-1964) and back to surplus (1965-1967). For the whole period, however, the cumulative trade balance shows a deficit of only \$6 million.

80. In all but three years, Rumania's trade with the East European countries (excluding the USSR) has resulted in trade deficits, the cumulative trade balance with these countries for 1960-1967 being a deficit of \$146 million. In contrast, Rumania's trade with the USSR has resulted in trade surpluses in all but two years, the cumulative trade balance being a surplus of \$68 million. An even greater surplus of \$73 million has been accumulated through trade with the remaining Communist countries. Thus, the approximate balance of Rumania's trade with all Communist countries in the 1960-1967 period conceals a cumulative trade deficit with East European Communist countries and surpluses in trade with the USSR and the other Communist countries.

81. Between 1965 and 1967, Rumania's balance of trade with all Communist countries moved into a surplus position. This surplus, however, declined from the peak of 1965 because of a deterioration in the balance of trade with the East European countries. Imports from East Europe rose rapidly, while exports actually fell in 1966 and rose only slightly in 1967. Trade with the USSR continued to show a surplus. The growing surplus on the account with non-COMECON Communist countries is largely a result of aid shipments to North Vietnam.

V. FINANCE

Convertible Currency Requirements(1)

82. Rumania does not subscribe to Article VIII of the International Monetary Fund, and thus cannot pay for merchandise and invisible item imports in her own currency. Possible methods of financing imports include export earnings, gold sales, credit arrangements and depletion of foreign exchange reserves.

83. Rumanian foodstuffs, timber and timber products and petroleum products have found growing acceptance in Western markets, allowing convertible currency earnings from exports to rise from \$131 million in 1961 to \$374 million in 1967. This growth rate of convertible currency earning exports is unmatched by any other East European country.

84. While Rumanian exports to developed non-Communist countries provide increasing absolute amounts of hard currency, they are financing a progressively smaller proportion of convertible currency purchases. Rumanian imports (principally technically advanced machinery and equipment) have increased four-fold during the 1961-1967 period. Thus, Rumania's annual trade deficits with convertible currency countries have risen from \$10 million in 1961 to the largest such deficit ever experienced by a Communist country outside the Soviet Union - a record \$182 million in 1967.

85. The most important item covering the deficit in convertible currency is the extension of medium-term credits to Rumania by the West. As of June 1968, credits of over five years, which had never been granted before 1964, stood at \$373.5 million out of a total \$731 million granted by NATO countries to Rumania. Rumania ranks second only to the USSR in the absolute amount of credits outstanding to NATO countries. Rumania leads all the Communist countries when credits are expressed as a percent of GNP, and places a close second to Bulgaria if a per capita base is used. The chief

(1) This section includes transactions between Rumania and non-Communist countries, excluding those which are known to trade only on a bilateral basis. Transactions among Communist countries are excluded, even though there may have been settlements of minor significance in convertible currencies. On the other hand, these figures may include transactions in soft currencies through bilateral arrangements, for which there is no information.

donor countries are found in NATO Europe, namely, the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom and Italy. Each has underwritten large export credits to help finance the purchase of capital equipment from their own country. Western commercial banks have also granted short-term credits (90 days) to Rumania; the latter has asked for longer credits (up to three years).

86. There are difficulties in using the NATO private and public-guaranteed credits outstanding variable as a measure of Rumania's indebtedness. Rumania's actual debt burden to non-Communist countries is that proportion of credits which has been drawn plus accumulated interest, less any repayments made. There will be a substantial difference in the amounts corresponding to these two concepts since credits recorded as granted in one year will probably cover goods to be delivered over an extended period of years. The debt service ratio calculated as reimbursements made by Rumania to NATO countries during 1967 as a percent of the value of f.o.b. exports to convertible currency countries is .18. This is somewhat higher than the accepted level for under-developed countries. The actual debt service ratio can be assumed to be significantly higher if data on total repayments were available.

87. A potential source of foreign currency unique to Rumania among the East European countries is its gold deposits. Rumania does mine gold but there is no evidence to suggest important new discoveries. Hence, current production must of necessity be derived from the intensified exploitation of the existing already well-worked mines. Competing demands on the limited quantity of gold available include: (1) financing that part of the hard currency trade deficit not covered by credits; (2) repayments of principal and interest on credits drawn; (3) building up of reserve balances; and (4) use for domestic industrial purposes. There is no information to suggest how Rumania allocates her scarce supply among these alternative uses.

COMECON Currency Requirements

88. Rumania's trade with the Soviet Union and other East European countries is planned in five year bilateral trade agreements which are confirmed through annual trade protocols. In 1964, the International Bank for Economic Co-operation (IBEC)(1) was set up to promote multilateral clearing of

(1) IBEC members are: Bulgaria, Hungary, the Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany, Mongolia, Poland, Rumania, Czechoslovakia and the USSR

NATO CONFIDENTIAL
AC/89-WP/269(Revised)

-30-

trade balances among COMECON partners. Member countries initially contributed a sum of their national currencies to the paid-up capital of the IBEC in proportion to the volume of exports in their mutual trade. Rumania's subscription quota to the IBEC is approximately 5% of the authorised capital of 300 million "transferable roubles".

89. Prior to the formation of the IBEC, settlements were conducted through bilateral clearing accounts, and the balance of these accounts in favour of one trading partner could not be utilised for payments to a third country. Bilateral payments balancing automatically depresses trade to the export capacity of the weaker partner in every agreement, thereby restricting the volume of trade. A multilateral payments system in transferable roubles requires only that the transactions of a country be in balance with the COMECON area as a whole. Thus, expectations were for a faster expansion of intra-COMECON trade, which has never occurred. In practice, the COMECON countries have preferred to conduct trade among each other on a strictly bilateral basis, especially in deals involving hard goods. There are no data on Rumania's activity within the International Bank for Economic Co-operation, but the total volume of payments in transferable roubles among IBEC members in 1967 increased by 11.4%. IBEC credits can be classified in three categories. They may be settlement credits, arising from short-term requirements in current trade; seasonal credits of up to one year, granted to banks in the IBEC countries with seasonal production and marketing fluctuations; or special two-year credits to pay for goods purchased over and above the mutual deliveries agreed in advance or for equilibrating the balance of payments.

90. Despite the formation of the IBEC, intra-COMECON trade has grown at a slower rate than has Communist trade with the West. For Rumania's part, this is primarily due to the requirement for technically advanced machinery and equipment imports from the Western world. However, institutional deterrents to an expansion of growth in trade among IBEC members are obvious. True multilateralism cannot exist when trade agreements are signed annually on a bilateral basis. Furthermore, rouble transferability is not the same as rouble convertibility. Nations showing a surplus on their balance of trade accounts are merely lending disguised purchasing power to the debtor countries. Creditor partners cannot spend accumulated credits on hard currency imports which they require. Hence, for the more industrialised economies, there exists no incentive to expand intra-COMECON trade. Before COMECON can become a viable working unit, economic relations must be governed by commercial, rather than inflexible political, criteria.

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

-30-

91. Rumania is not a large donor or recipient of Communist credits. The amount of investment credits granted to Rumania in the past has never been large compared to those granted to Bulgaria, Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany, and Poland. Long-term credits extended and received in the last decade have been predominantly for manufacturing and processing plants and to finance joint projects. Terms often allow repayment in commodities.

VI. FOREIGN ECONOMIC RELATIONS IN LIGHT OF THE WARSAW PACT INTERVENTION OF CZECHOSLOVAKIA

92. The Czechoslovak crisis of August 1968 has both strengthened the will of Rumania to preserve what independence it has won during the past from the USSR, while making it abundantly clear just how quickly that independence can be destroyed. Rumania will therefore have to be extremely cautious in executing any moves which could be interpreted by the USSR as dangerous to the unity of the Communist countries. This will certainly be true with respect to Rumania's apparent determination to trade with non-Communist countries where such trade is to the economic advantage of Rumania.

93. Rumania has long resisted COMECON pressure to have Rumania remain a supplier of grain and raw materials for the East European Communist countries. In an effort to industrialise its economy as rapidly as possible, Rumania has sought to expand trade relations with the developed countries of the West. Consequently, Rumania has tied the success of her industrialisation programme largely to imports of advanced capital equipment from non-Communist countries. As the following table illustrates, Rumania exceeds all other COMECON countries in its dependence on trade conducted with the West.

EAST EUROPE: TRADE WITH NON-COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1966-67

Country	Share of imports from non-Communist countries as a percentage of total imports				Share of exports to non-Communist countries as a percentage of total exports			
	1966		1967		1966		1967	
	Total	Industrially developed countries	Total	Industrially developed countries	Total	Industrially developed countries	Total	Industrially developed countries
Rumania	42.8	34.0	51.3	46.9	38.0	26.0	43.5	36.9
Poland	35.7	23.1	34.3	27.6	38.4	26.2	36.2	30.3
Hungary	35.1	22.1	33.4	26.0	31.6	20.6	31.4	24.4
Czechoslovakia	29.7	18.1	28.0	22.1	29.8	16.1	29.1	21.2
Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany	28.2	16.2	26.2	18.7	25.6	12.8	25.0	16.6
Bulgaria	30.4	23.8	26.1	21.7	23.6	14.0	22.7	17.4

-32-

-32-

94. However, it is reasonable to assume that there must be a gradual shift of emphasis from imports to exports in the long run. Rumania cannot expect, and would not wish, to incur convertible currency debts at the rate of growth which it has experienced in the last few years. As it progressively establishes an advanced technological base for industry, Rumania will presumably have less need of massive amounts of capital equipment. Instead, efforts will probably be made to earn convertible currency through sales of manufactured as well as primary goods. Whether the total share of non-Communist trade with Rumania will remain at its present level of 46% will depend, among other things, upon Rumania's success (or failure) to shift from buying to selling in Western markets.

95. Undoubtedly the Soviet Union is keeping a close watch on the growing reliance of Rumanian trade and financial indebtedness to non-Communist countries. There are subtle measures (such as slow deliveries of crucial industrial outputs, delayed negotiations etc.) by which the Soviet Union can create bottlenecks over time and seriously hamper the efficient functioning of Rumanian industry. However, it is not expected that overt economic sanctions will be imposed upon Rumania. The Rumanian Communist Party is united within its own ranks, in contrast to the conflict between the liberal and conservative elements in the Czechoslovak Communist Party. Furthermore, Rumanian economic reforms aim at streamlining the existing command economy rather than moving toward a socialist market economy, as was the aspired goal of Czechoslovakia. The Rumanian Communist Party has retained tight central control over the press, unlike the policy practised in Czechoslovakia before the Warsaw Pact intervention. Barring a change in Rumania's actions, the Soviet Union will probably continue to take a realistic view of Rumanian foreign economic relations.

VII. ECONOMIC REFORMS

96. Compared with other East European countries, Rumania is a latecomer to economic reform. The relatively small and unsophisticated industrial base largely explains Rumania's approach to economic reform, a term which is officially avoided in favour of "a perfecting of the management and planning of the national economy". Limited changes in the operation of the economy are not expected to be implemented on any more than an experimental scale until 1970.

97. Economic reforms in East Europe in general have been drafted to try to overcome the shortcomings of the Soviet-type economic model. It is known, for example, that, compared with industrialised non-Communist countries, East European economies have greater capital-output ratios, higher inventory costs, lower levels of technology and lower levels

of labour productivity. The weaknesses of the East European economies are thought to arise from the nature of the Soviet economic model itself. The model neglects market forces and price signals in favour of planners choices which have been enforced largely in physical terms. While such "command" mechanisms may have been effective at early stages of economic development, they have become less useful as an economy becomes more developed and complex. It is understandable then that the more developed economies of Eastern Europe, such as East Germany and Czechoslovakia, were the first to experiment with economic reform. In the last decade, their annual rate of growth of industrial production has been substantially lower than the average for East Europe. On the other hand, Rumania and Bulgaria, the least industrialised countries in COMECON, have enjoyed very high rates of industrial growth and only lately have considered the question of economic reforms.

98. Economic reform programmes in East Europe fall into two broad categories with respect to the means employed to attain a higher rate of investment productivity and growth of national income. The larger group, consisting of the reform programmes of East Germany, Poland, Bulgaria and Rumania, aim only at a modernisation of present economic management rather than any radical changes. For example, the reforms do not challenge the political primacy of the Communist Party and the power of central planners over key allocation decisions. In contrast, the economic reform programme of Hungary and, at least until 21st August, 1968, that of Czechoslovakia, aim to give greater scope to market forces.

99. The key difference between these two approaches lies in pricing policy. The Hungarian and Czechoslovak reform programmes prescribe gradual introduction of free market prices in order that price signals will play a larger rôle in the operation of the economy. On the other hand, the other East European countries, including Rumania, intend to maintain centrally fixed prices. However, changes in pricing policy are ultimately crucial to the success of the economic reform programmes, and in Rumania some attempt is to be made to introduce greater flexibility and bring prices more into line with production costs. Changes in the wage structure, which will reward skill and quality through greater differentiation in wages, are also being considered.

100. The creation of strong "industrial centrals" into which the enterprises of entire regions or whole industries will be combined is the basic feature of Rumania's reform programme. Thus, decentralisation of decision-making will not reach down to the level of the enterprise but only to

that of these "centrals". The industrial centrals will inherit much of the detailed planning co-ordination and management from the central planning agencies, enabling the latter to concentrate on broad policy questions. A greater degree of direct contact will be allowed between trade and production enterprises. Some large enterprises and centrals will be given more power to establish direct contacts with foreign trading partners and to set up sales agencies abroad. Final authority for all foreign economic relations, however, will remain with the Foreign Trade Ministry. Presumably these measures are being motivated by a desire to increase exports to convertible currency countries in light of Rumania's limited foreign exchange reserves and heavy repayment due on commercial credits.

101. Rumania's economic reforms are still in the experimental stage. No steps were taken to establish the industrial centrals in 1968, but some action is contemplated during 1969(1). The experiment, which started in 1967 with new forms of planning, credit and material incentives, was based on a reduction and modification of the centrally planned indicators in 71 industrial enterprises. Greater financial powers were granted with emphasis on profitability. The experiment has not yielded conclusive results and the test period seems to have been extended. The Rumanians are approaching economic reform very cautiously, and have postponed final decisions on the new structure until 1970.

VIII. GROWTH STRATEGY

102. An attempt can be made to evaluate Rumanian economic development policy either as explicitly stated by the officials or as implied by their actions. However, it is meaningless to make a judgement on policy when such a judgement presupposes some economically valid analytical framework in Western terms (fundamentally marginal analysis). Since the market mechanism is not allowed to set factor prices in Rumania, there is no method of determining the relative scarcity cost of the economy's resources. It is not sufficient that input prices are tied to the lagged averages

(1) Last April, the Council of Ministers approved, on a trial basis, a model statute for the industrial centrals; while this document is essentially in line with the 1967 Directives, it reflects a more conservative and restrictive trend, especially as regards foreign trade. At the same time, the Council of Ministers decided to set up eight "centrals" and two industrial "groups" in various heavy industry sectors; however, it did not indicate the difference between these two terms.

of world markets. In order to allocate resources among alternative uses in the most productive manner, supply and demand forces must be allowed to determine comparative prices in both factor and product markets. In a socialist command economy, such as Rumania's, opportunity costs are poorly reflected in prices. Thus, a criterion, other than maximising economic welfare, must be found to evaluate Rumania's economic development programme.

103. Rumania has embarked upon a course of rapid industrialisation. The emphasis on the development of certain heavy industries in the early 1950's was probably to a large extent ideological, but in recent years nationalism has been a force of considerable importance. There is a desire for the high living standards and the prestige historically associated with industrial economies and a desire to avoid the vulnerability of predominantly agrarian economies to weather and volatile world markets for industrial goods. Rumania has pursued this policy with great determination in face of considerable political pressure from CMEA, and is strongly resisting any decisions against her own national interest. Her industrialisation policy, however, can be pursued only at a price: she cannot afford to finance simultaneously a balanced investment package in industry and the necessary investment in agricultural improvements.

104. As discussed in the preceding agriculture section, Rumanian agriculture is operating well below its capacity, given today's technology. Perhaps Rumania is wise in going through a "tooling-up" process, that is, rapid industrialisation, for long-term economic development. On the other hand, by not exploiting the full potential of the agricultural sector, Rumania has had to forego convertible currency earnings which might have been realised if agricultural output had been expanded to meet the demand of the 1960's. However, if the optimistic projections of world cereal production (based on the development of high-yield strains of wheat, rice etc.) are accurate, then it seems apparent that world markets for cereals will become less and less profitable as time passes. Since Rumania is already self-sufficient in domestically producible foodstuffs, there appears to be little reason why Rumania should expect anything but deterioration in the export demand for her surplus cereal production over the long-term. (Of course, the picture would be much more favourable for Rumania if the EEC and the United States liberalised their protectionist tariff policies with respect to agricultural imports.) Investment in agriculture would likely be best directed at the production of commodities with a high income elasticity of demand in export markets, such as wine or industrial crops, the demand for which will rise with industrialisation at home and abroad.

105. Given that a political fiat dictates a policy of rapid industrialisation, it is sensible to ask whether Rumania is rationally allocating its limited investment funds among alternative industrial sectors. The important factors include Rumania's natural and human resources and the present and potential domestic and export markets for the industrial goods produced. The extent to which Rumania is investing in industries with a natural resource base has been discussed in the earlier section on industry. The record in this respect is reasonable, given two additional factors, namely that Rumania must develop import substitution industries to conserve her limited supply of convertible currency and Rumania's desire to remain economically independent of the Soviet Union.

106. Rumania, a country emerging from an agrarian past, is currently engaged in the transformation of peasant labour to industrial labour. The country has a considerable fund of industrial skills and experience in the petroleum industry. The adaptation of imported technology and equipment to suit local conditions and materials will generate a demand for domestic research facilities.

107. However, an important problem arises when the export market for Rumanian machinery and equipment is examined. In the past, Rumanian industrial products have been of insufficient quality to compete in Western markets. Success in selling to convertible currency countries has been mainly limited to primary products such as cereals, timber and petroleum. Yet much of the positive effect of machinery, equipment and technology imported from the West in the last few years has not had time to be reflected in production. It generally takes several years before a plant is completed and operating smoothly. In the long run, Rumania may achieve considerable success in expanding exports from the chemical industry, for example. In order to sell the manufactured goods of other industrial branches, output must first meet the high quality standards set by international trade. Market research and aggressive sales policy are also essential if hard currency export earnings are to be significantly increased.

TABLE 1

EASTERN EUROPE: STATISTICAL PROFILE, 1967

	Bulgaria	Czechoslovakia	East Germany	Hungary	Poland	Rumania
<u>Population</u> (mid-1967 in millions)	8.3	14.3	17.1	10.2	31.9	19.3
<u>Area</u> (in sq. miles)	42,796	49,354	41,380	35,912	120,360	91,671
<u>Labour Force</u> (mid-1967 in millions)	4.56	6.80	8.32	5.09	15.9	11.5
<u>Participation Rate</u> (per cent of Labour Force)	54.9%	47.6%	48.7%	49.9%	49.8%	59.6%
<u>% of Labour Force employed in Agriculture</u>	45.6%	18.4%	16.7%	29.3%	38.9%	59.6%
<u>GNP</u> (in billions of 1967 US \$)	\$8.4	\$25.5	\$30.5	\$12.9	\$35.5	\$18.1
<u>GNP/Capita</u> (in 1967 US \$)	\$1,010	\$1,780	\$1,780	\$1,260	\$1,110	\$940


TABLE 2

PARK OF PRINCIPAL TYPES OF AGRICULTURAL EQUIPMENT

	<u>1963</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>1969</u> <u>plan</u>
Tractors	63,351	75,386	81,356	90,810	92,826	96,700(1)	99,000
Tractor-drawn ploughs	70,809	80,370	86,215	90,472	89,440	NA	NA
Grain combines	32,493	35,406	36,844	39,516	41,786	NA	51,000
Mechanical seeders	63,118	64,266	65,964	67,273	60,680	NA	57,400
Rotary hoes	11,612	14,449	17,118	19,332	19,380	NA	NA
Mechanical sprayers and dusters	5,328	4,840	5,417	6,279	6,965	NA	NA

(1) Approximate number computed on basis of the reported number of hectares of arable land (102) per one tractor

INDEXES OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION (Official data)



* Data for 1967 not available

Figure 1

TABLE 3

EAST EUROPE: SHARE OF TRADE WITH NON-COMMUNIST COUNTRIES, 1966-67

COUNTRY	Share of trade with non-Communist countries (per cent)				
	Exports			Imports	
	1966	1967		1966	1967
Bulgaria	23.6	22.7		30.4	26.1
Czechoslovakia	29.8	29.1		29.7	28.0
East Germany	25.6	25.0		28.2	26.2
Hungary	31.6	31.4		35.1	33.4
Poland	38.4	36.2		35.7	34.3
Rumania	38.0	43.5		42.8	51.3

TABLE 4

RUMANIA: DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTRY OF
TOTAL TRADE, EXPORTS AND IMPORTS, 1967

Country		Total Trade (per cent)	Exports (per cent)	Imports (per cent)
Communist	Non-Communist			
USSR		28.2	31.0	25.6
	West Germany	12.0	6.9	16.6
	Italy	6.2	6.7	5.7
Czechoslovakia		6.0	6.2	5.8
East Germany		5.5	5.0	6.0
	France	4.6	3.9	5.2
	United Kingdom	3.9	4.6	3.3
Poland		3.3	3.5	3.0
	Austria	2.6	1.7	3.5
	Belgium	2.5	0.8	4.0
Hungary		2.5	2.7	2.2
Communist China		2.5	2.8	2.1
	UAR	1.8	2.6	1.0
Bulgaria		1.6	1.5	1.6
Yugoslavia		1.5	1.5	1.5
	Japan	1.5	1.3	1.6
	Switzerland	1.5	1.2	1.7
	Lebanon	1.4	2.8	0.1
	Netherlands	1.2	0.9	1.4
	US	0.9	0.6	1.1
	Spain	0.9	0.9	0.8
	Sweden	0.8	0.4	1.1
	Iran	0.8	1.2	0.3
	India	0.6	0.6	0.5
	Greece	0.5	0.8	0.3
Absolute value of trade (millions of US \$)		2,940.3	1,394.8	1,545.5

RUMANIA
GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF IMPORTS, 1960 - 1967




Figure 2

ROMANIA
GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF EXPORTS, 1960 - 1967




Figure 3

TABLE 5

RUMANIA: COMMODITY COMPOSITION OF IMPORTS FROM NON-COMMUNIST COUNTRIES, 1965-67

Commodity Group	Per cent of total imports		
	1965	1966	1967
1. Machinery and transportation equipment	36.8	39.9	50.7
2. Manufactured goods	27.4	25.5	25.2
Textiles	(5.3)	(4.3)	(4.6)
Metals and metal products	(15.7)	(15.0)	(14.7)
- of which: Iron and steel and semi-manufactures	(11.9)	(10.1)	(10.1)
Other	(6.3)	(6.3)	(6.0)
3. Textile fibres	11.7	9.1	5.3
Man-made fibres	(4.3)	(3.0)	(1.5)
Cotton	(7.4)	(6.1)	(3.7)
4. Chemicals	9.4	8.7	7.3
5. Crude and synthetic rubber	2.0	2.4	1.8
6. Foodstuffs	4.1	4.7	3.3
7. Iron ore and concentrates	2.3	2.6	0.6
8. Coke and coking coal	1.0	0.4	0.1
9. Other and unspecified items	5.3	6.7	5.5
TOTAL (errors due to rounding)	100.0	100.0	99.8
ABSOLUTE VALUE (in millions of US \$)	394	494	721

NATO UNCLASSIFIED
 ANNEX to
 AC/89-WP/269(Revised)

TABLE 6

RUMANIA: COMMODITY COMPOSITION OF EXPORTS TO NON-COMMUNIST COUNTRIES, 1965-67

Commodity Group	Per cent of total exports		
	1965	1966	1967
1. Foodstuffs - of which: Corn Wheat	28.9 (10.9) (-----)	22.6 (1.7) (1.7)	35.2 (6.8) (9.3)
2. Petroleum and petroleum products	16.4	14.7	11.2
3. Timber and timber products	20.4	16.4	12.5
4. Chemicals and rubber	5.3	4.5	5.1
5. Manufactured goods: - of which: Iron and steel and semi-manufactures	14.3 (1.6)	16.4 (3.3)	14.7 (3.2)
6. Machinery and transport equipment	1.9	2.4	3.2
7. Other and unspecified items	12.7	23.0	18.1
TOTAL (errors due to rounding) ABSOLUTE VALUE (in millions of US \$)	99.9 322	100.0 421	100.0 529

-55-

-55-

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 7

RUMANIA: COMMODITY COMPOSITION OF IMPORTS FROM THE USSR, 1965-67

Commodity Group	Per cent of total imports		
	1965	1966	1967
1. Machinery and equipment	19.9	26.2	29.6
- of which:			
Complete plant	(6.6)	(8.8)	(9.1)
Auto transport and garage equipment	(2.3)	(3.9)	(3.0)
Aircraft industry	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.7)
2. Coal and coke	4.1	4.6	4.4
3. Iron ore	4.5	6.0	6.4
4. Metal and metal products	41.8	37.5	33.2
- of which:			
Iron and steel	(38.7)	(35.2)	(31.2)
Non-ferrous products	(3.1)	(2.2)	(2.0)
5. Fertilizers and farm pesticides	1.3	2.6	2.2
6. Cotton fibres	5.6	5.9	6.0
7. Consumer goods	2.4	3.5	3.2
8. Other	20.0	13.6	15.0
TOTAL (errors due to rounding)	99.6	99.9	100.0
ABSOLUTE VALUE (in millions of US \$)	402	386	394

TABLE 8RUMANIA: COMMODITY COMPOSITION OF EXPORTS TO THE USSR, 1965-67

Commodity Group	Per cent of total exports		
	1965	1966	1967
1. Machinery and equipment	18.3	13.9	18.4
- of which:			
Oil well drilling equipment	(5.4)	(5.8)	(6.6)
Equipment for petroleum refineries	(3.4)	(0.2)	(-)
Ships and marine equipment	(3.5)	(3.0)	(4.2)
Equipment for chemical industry	(3.0)	(0.6)	(1.9)
2. Petroleum products	13.1	9.1	7.3
3. Steel ingots	1.6	1.5	2.4
4. Ferrous rolled stock	6.6	6.1	2.6
5. Pipes	10.0	11.8	11.3
6. Chemical products	1.8	2.0	2.4
7. Lumber and lumber products	8.2	8.9	9.4
8. Foodstuffs	11.8	16.1	12.0
9. Consumer goods	18.0	20.3	20.9
10. Other	10.6	10.4	13.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0
ABSOLUTE VALUE (in millions of US \$)	440	405	424

TABLE 9

RUMANIA: NON-COMMUNIST BILATERAL TRADING PARTNERS, 1967


Algeria	Lebanon
Austria	Mali
Brazil	Singapore
Ceylon	Spain
Cyprus	Switzerland
Finland	Syria
Ghana	Tunisia
Greece	Turkey
Iceland	United Arab Republic
India	Yugoslavia
Iran	

ROMANIA


BALANCE OF TRADE WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1960-1967

In millions of \$US


All Communist Countries




USSR



East Europe



Other Communist Countries



+ Indicates Surplus
- Indicates Deficit

Figure 4

TABLE 10

RUMANIA: BALANCE OF TRADE WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES, 1965-67

Rumania's trade with	Balance of trade (in millions of US \$)			Accumulative balance 1960-67
	1965	1966	1967	
USSR	+ 32.3	+ 15.7	+ 36.4	+ 67.4
East Europe (excluding USSR)	+ 49.8	+ 7.3	- 25.8	- 146.2
Other Communist countries	+ 14.1	+ 18.1	+ 24.5	+ 72.8
All Communist countries	+ 96.2	+ 41.1	+ 35.1	- 5.9

-65-

-65-

CHART 1

CHART TO COMPARE REFORM MEASURES IN COMECON COUNTRIES

Measure	Adoption in principle by					
	USSR	E. Germany	Poland	Czech.	Hungary	Rumania Bulgaria
1. A reduction in the number of technical and economic indicators given as commands to producers by central authorities, i.e. decentralised decision making	x	x	x	x	x	x x
2. Profit becomes most important indicator of enterprise performance	x	x	x	x	x	x x
3. Profits to be used to reward enterprise and labour	x	x	x	x	x	x x
4. Interest charge on capital	x	x	x	x	x	x x
5. Increased use of bank credits for financing investment	x	x	(x)	x	x	x x
6. Direct commercial contact between domestic producers and consumers	x	x	(x)	(x)	(x)	x x
7. Foreign trade: direct contacts between foreigners and local firms or associations	-	x	x	-	x	x x
8. Creation of branch wide associations of firms	-	x	x	x	-	x x
9. Price formation mechanism revised	-	-	-	x	x	- -

Key: x means adoption
- means rejection
(x) means not confirmed

DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE - PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE