CONSEIL DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL



EXEMPLAIRE

228

ORIGINAL: FRENCH 26th August, 1960 NATO CONFIDENTIAL
ADDENDUM 4 to
DOCUMENT
AC/121(P)D/3

CIVIL COMMUNICATIONS PLANNING COMMITTEE

POSTAL WORKING GROUP

ADDENDUM 4

to

AC/121(P)D/3 (dated 5th July, 1960)

Reply by the German Delegation to the Questions set out in document AC/121(P)D/3, dated 5th July, 1960

I. Having regard to the different phases of tension and conflict resulting from the NATO assumptions, when should the necessary international network (or emergency postal network) be put into effect?

We propose that the minimum network or emergency postal network should be put into effect at the time of the general alert or on the outbreak of hostilities and that preparatory measures, to be taken in the preceding alert phases, should also be provided for.

According to the accepted NATO assumptions, all member countries must expect extremely severe attacks from the outset of hostilities. This will mean the serious crippling, if not paralysis, of rail and road traffic as well as the suspension of civilian air services as provided in peacetime. Future studies should accordingly be based on the premise that normal international and national postal services will be deprived of facilities from the very beginning. Since the postal services essential to everyday life and to defence - including the NATO

MATO CONFIDENTIAL

civil air couriergram service - must be ensured from the start of hostilities and perhaps even during the alert period, both the international and national emergency postal services must be ready to come into operation immediately.

The implementation of the emergency postal service will in no way prevent any NATO member countries not directly affected by the war, from maintaining their normal postal services for as long as possible.

Assuming that the position as regards transport facilities will be completely changed and given the requirements of the NATO air couriergram service to be met by the national postal services (transport by air only, individual registration of despatches and courier services), the emergency postal service will have to be organised and operated on different lines from those used normally. It will therefore have to be carefully studied in peacetime and steps will have to be taken to include in the alert schedules the indispensable preparatory measures to be taken with a view to its implementation.

We would refer for the purpose of comparison to the arrangements made for transport:

Provision has been made, in the event of a conflict, for a supreme transport co-ordination authority for Central Europe known as ACTICE. A NATO Council Resolution, set out in document C-N(58)129, dated 8th December, defines this authority as follows:

"A wartime organization is hereby established under the authority of the NATO Council. This Agency will be set up on the proclamation of a simple alert and brought into operation on a reinforced alert or upon the outbreak of hostilities."

Pursuant to this resolution, a proposal for the creation of a Central Europe wagon pool was put forward and unanimously adopted. The text of the decision, which is set out in document AC/15(Ce/RRT)D/28 (Article 1) dated 11th January, 1960, is worded as follows:

"The Central Europe Pool shall be constituted on the simple alert and shall go into operation on the declaration of the reinforced alert or on the outbreak of hostilities."

II. Can the routing map for this minimum network be prepared immediately or should the first stage be limited to assessing country to country requirements?

We feel that the first step should be to take stock (in terms of weight) of requirements in the various countries and to inform the NATO special transport agencies and the NATO military authorities of these requirements. The Working Group should then examine jointly with the above-mentioned agencies, the conditions in which an emergency postal service could be established.

III. In this connection, is there at present any possibility of providing information on the terminal points to be used in each country for the operation of the minimum international network?

At the present stage, it is neither possible nor necessary to indicate the terminal points in the German Federal Republic which could be used for the operation of the international emergency postal service. We feel that in the first instance it would be sufficient to establish the terminal countries.

IV. Should the assessment of requirements be limited to relations between the NATO member countries or should it extend to services with friendly or neutral countries?

The listing of requirements should also cover relations between NATO member countries and friendly or neutral countries.

A separate assessment should first be made of requirements for:

- (1) relations between NATO member countries only;
- (2) relations between NATO member countries and other Western European States;
- (3) relations between NATO member countries and States in other continents, grouped within the following geographical sectors: South America, Africa, Asia, Australia and Oceania. We have accordingly prepared a table as requested under Item VI.
- V. How frequently should the minimum international network (or emergency postal network) operato?

Provision should be made for <u>daily</u> services between MATO member countries. As regards relations with friendly or

noutral countries, these should, as proposed by the Working Group, be established after consultation with the special transport agencies.

VI. What common basis could be used for realistic assessment of international postal traffic in wartime?

We feel that the weight of normal mail (LC) despatched daily through the international services should be taken as the basis for as realistic an assessment as is possible of the traffic to be routed in wartime by the emergency postal services. It will not be possible to take into account AO despatches or parcels since in the event of war these services will probably have to be substantially curtailed or even entirely suspended in some NATO member countries. It should further be borne in mind that despatches of international mail (LC) may also be considerably curtailed, depending on circumstances, in certain NATO member countries.

We have set out in the attached table the weight of LC mail, calculated, on the suggestion of the Working Group, on the basis of the figures contained in the "Statistics of Despatches by International Postal Services in 1958" normally exchanged with the various countries and geographical sectors.

gradual

5

NATO CONFIDENTIAL ADDENDUM 4 to AC/121(P)D/3

ψ_i

TABLE

1 ²6 g

Table of mail (letters and postcards) despatched by the German Federal Republic through international services

| Destination | Number of IC missives sent in 1958 | Number of units exchanged daily | Daily weight in kgs. |
|---|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| 1. NATO member countries | | ¥. | |
| Belgium | 8,258,100 | 22,625 | 282 |
| Denmark | 9,422,900 | 25,816 | 323 |
| France | 12,021,600 | 32,936 | 412 |
| Greece | 1,627,000 | 4,458 | 56 |
| United Kingdom | 15,249,700 | 41,780 | 522 |
| United Kingdom possessions in Europe (Cyprus, Gibraltar, Malta) | 445,400 | 1,220 | 15 |
| Iccland | 321,600 | 881 [°] | 11 |
| Italy | 10,606,000 | 29,058 | 363 |
| Luxembourg | 2,172,700 | 5,953 | 74 |
| Netherlands | 15,504,700 | 42,479 | 531 |
| Norwey | 4,505,000 | 12,342 | 154 |
| Portugal | 1,756,300 | 4,812 | 60 |
| Turkey (European & Asian) | 1,582,800 | 4,336 | 54 |
| Canada | 5,931,800 | 16,252 | 203 |

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

4

k & 0

NATO CONFIDENTIAL ADDENDUM 4 to AC/121(P)D/3

| | | | NATO CONFIDENTIAL ADDENDUM 4 to AC/121(P)D/3 |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| <u>Destination</u> | Number of IC missives sent in 1958 | Number of units exchanged daily | Daily weight in kgs. |
| United States (including Alaska, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Panama Canal zone) Other European States | 34,113,900 | 93,462 | 1,168 |
| Finland Ireland | 2,895,600 | 7,933 | 99 |
| Yugoslavia | 629,200 2,185,700 | 1,724 5,988 | 22 75 |
| Monaco Austria | 89,900 18,724,200 | 246 51,299 | 3 641 |
| Sweden Switzerland | 12,000,400 | 32,878 | 411 |
| Spain | 17,925,300 3,407,500 | 49 , 110 9,336 | 614 117 |
| Vatican City Geographical areas | 47,200 | 129 | 2 |
| South America Africa | 8,440,100 | 23,124 | 289 |
| Asia | 7,253,200 9,535,200 | 19,872 26,124 | 248 327 |
| Australia and Oceania | 2,560,900 | 7,016 | <i>321</i> 88 |

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

Explanatory notes

1. Genoral

The figure representing the number of LC missives exchanged during 1958 is obtained by adding together columns 2 and 4 of "Statistics of Despatches by International Postal Services in 1958".

In determining the number of units exchanged daily the 365 day year was used.

The calculation of the weight was based on an average figure (80 long distance missives = 1 kg.)

2. Paragraph 2 - Sorvices with other European States

These figures do not include services with the following countries:

Albania, Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, Roumania, Czechoslovakia, Byelo-Russia and Ukraine, USSR (European).

3. Paragraph 3 - Services with geographical areas

In the case of <u>South America</u> we have added together the figures for the following countries listed under "III. <u>America"</u> in the statistics: Argentine, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Dutch West Indies and Surinam, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela.

As rogards Asia, the figures do not cover mail exchanged with the following countries, listed under "IV. Asia" in the statistics: China, Turkey (Asian), USSR (Asian). The figures for Turkey (Asian) have already been taken into account under paragraph 1 - Services with NATO States.

OTAN/NATO, Paris, XVIc.